

California Integrated Waste Management Board

Board Meeting

March 15-16, 2005

AGENDA ITEM 2

ITEM

Consideration Of The Grant Awards For The Senate Bill 1346 Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Grant Program For FY 2004/2005

I. ISSUE/PROBLEM STATEMENT

In accordance with the California Integrated Waste Management Board's (Board) grant award process, staff is presenting its recommendations to award Senate Bill (SB) 1346 Rubberized Asphalt Concrete (RAC) Grants for Fiscal Year (FY) 2004/2005.

Staff received 69 complete and eligible applications and is recommending that the Board approve the ranking of applicants and award funds totaling \$1,255,652.50. Staff is also requesting approval to enter into Grant Agreements with these applicants in order of ranking. Funding from FY 2004/2005 for this grant offering must be encumbered by June 30, 2005.

II. ITEM HISTORY

SB 1346 (Kuehl, Statutes of 2002, Chapter 671) authorizes the Board to implement a program to award grants to cities, counties, districts, and other local government agencies for the funding of public works projects that use RAC.

At its May 2003 meeting, the Board adopted the *Five-Year Plan for Waste Tire Recycling Management Program – 2nd Edition Covering Fiscal Years 2003/2004 – 2007/2008* (Five-Year Plan). To implement the SB 1346 grant program, the Five-Year Plan allocates one million two hundred thousand dollars (\$1,200,000) in FY 2004/2005. The Board approved the eligibility criteria, evaluation process, and priority ranking categories at its September 2003 meeting.

III. OPTIONS FOR THE BOARD

The Board may decide to:

1. Approve the proposed awards and adopt Resolution Number 2005-81 to award 70 (one grant is split between both lists) grants as follows:
 - a. Direct staff to enter into Grant Agreements with applicants for the SB 1346 Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Grants for FY 2004/2005 in List A in order of ranking; and
 - b. Direct staff to enter into Grant Agreements with the remaining applicants in List B upon availability of other FY 2004/2005 or FY 2005/2006 funds; or
2. Disapprove the proposed awards and direct staff as to further action.

IV. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Board adopt Option 1 and adopt Resolution Number 2005-81.

V. ANALYSIS

A. Key Issues and Findings

1. Application Evaluation Process

- The Notice of Funds Available (NOFA) was placed on the Board's Web site, mailed in October 2004 to more than 650 interested parties statewide and e-mailed to more than 600 interested parties.
- The original application period was from October 12, 2004 to January 15, 2005. The application period deadline was extended to January 28, 2005, to accommodate several stakeholders that were impacted by the flooding in Southern California.
- The Board received a total of 99 applications for the SB 1346 RAC Grants for FY 2004/2005 by the January 28, 2005 deadline, totaling \$1,629,305 in requested funding.
- Grants Administration Unit (GAU) entered the applications into the Grants Management System (GMS).
- GAU conducted an initial completeness review of each application.
- GAU distributed all 99 applications to the Cycle Lead.
- The Cycle Lead determined, with concurrence from the GAU, that 30 applications should be disqualified from this cycle for reasons such as project ineligibility, applicant ineligibility, or incomplete application packages. As a result, there were 69 complete and eligible applications to rank.
- The Cycle Lead, with concurrence from the GAU, ranked the complete and eligible applications.

2. Ranking Results

After completing the ranking process, the Cycle Lead listed all eligible applications in descending order. Please refer to Attachment 1 for the resulting ranking.

3. Funding Recommendations

Staff recommends funding 69 applications based on their meeting the eligibility criteria for a total of \$1,255,652.50. The funding should be broken out as follows: \$1,200,000 from FY 2004/2005 funds and \$55,652.50 from reallocated FY 2004/2005 or FY 2005/2006 if funds become available. Please refer to the proposed Resolution for this item (Attachment 2) for a listing of the recommended grant award recipients.

B. Environmental Issues

Promoting the use of RAC should increase the use of RAC and thereby increase the use of crumb rubber, which should reduce the number of tires that are illegally dumped, ultimately reducing the need for landfilling of scrap tires.

C. Program/Long Term Impacts

Based on available information, staff is not aware of any program or long term impacts related to this item.

D. Stakeholder Impacts

Based on available information, staff is not aware of any stakeholder impacts related to this item.

E. Fiscal Impacts

The amount of funding allocated from the Tire Recycling Management Fund for this grant program is one million two hundred thousand dollars (\$1,200,000) for FY 2004/2005.

F. Legal Issues

See Item History for legal authority to award these grants.

G. Environmental Justice

Each applicant was required to certify under penalty of perjury that, if awarded a grant, it shall, in the performance of the Grant Agreement, conduct its program, policies, and activities that substantially affect human health and safety or the environment in a manner that ensures the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income levels, including minority populations, and low-income populations of the State.

All grantees will be contractually required to perform their grant projects in a manner that is consistent with the principles of Environmental Justice as defined in Public Resources Code § 72000 et seq.

H. 2001 Strategic Plan

The award of these grants will support:

Goal 2, assisting in the creation and expansion of sustainable markets to support diversion efforts and ensure that diverted materials return to the economic mainstream and achieve **Objective 2**.

Objective 2 encourages the use of materials diverted from California landfills and the use of environmentally preferable practices, products, and technologies.

VI. FUNDING INFORMATION

1. Fund Source	2. Amount Available	3. Amount to Fund Item	4. Amount Remaining	5. Line Item
Tire Recycling Management Fund	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 0	Grants

VII. ATTACHMENTS

1. Ranked Project List
2. Resolution Number 2005-81

VIII. STAFF RESPONSIBLE FOR ITEM PREPARATION

A. Program Staff: Nate Gauff

Phone: (916) 341-6686

B. Legal Staff: Holly Armstrong

Phone: (916) 341-6060

C. Administration Staff: Roger Ikemoto

Phone: (916) 341-6116

IX. WRITTEN SUPPORT AND/OR OPPOSITION

A. Support

Staff had not received any written support at the time this item was submitted for publication.

B. Opposition

Staff had not received any written opposition at the time this item was submitted for publication.

App. No	Jurisdiction	Tonnage	Grant Amount
80	City of Carlsbad	37,000	\$50,000.00
87	City of Carson	25,000	\$50,000.00
58	City of Santa Monica	21,375	\$50,000.00
59	City of Santa Monica	21,061	\$50,000.00
73	City of Santa Clarita	20,000	\$50,000.00
56	City of Thousand Oaks	20,000	\$50,000.00
90	City of Rancho Palos Verdes	17,244	\$43,110.00
8	San Diego County	15,865	\$39,662.50
20	City of Riverside	13,200	\$33,000.00
11	Los Angeles County	12,659	\$31,647.50
74	City of La Quinta	12,596	\$31,490.00
57	City of Thousand Oaks	12,500	\$31,250.00
12	Los Angeles County	11,331	\$28,327.50
95	City of Tracy	11,000	\$27,500.00
69	City of Victorville	10,638	\$26,595.00
88	City of Hemet	9,800	\$24,500.00
13	Los Angeles County	9,500	\$23,750.00
89	City of Montebello	9,457	\$23,642.50
79	City of Lake Forest	9,390	\$23,475.00
14	Los Angeles County	9,334	\$23,335.00
7	Los Angeles County	9,200	\$23,000.00
77	City of Encinitas	8,625	\$21,562.50
22	City of Riverside	8,400	\$21,000.00
76	City of Covina	8,000	\$20,000.00
55	City of Garden Grove	7,577	\$18,942.50
53	City of South Gate	7,500	\$18,750.00
23	City of Riverside	7,300	\$18,250.00
3	City of Santa Fe Springs	6,520	\$16,300.00
84	City of Bell Gardens	6,500	\$16,250.00
34	City of Palmdale	6,312	\$15,780.00
21	City of Riverside	6,100	\$15,250.00
78	City of West Hollywood	5,715	\$14,287.50
54	City of Garden Grove	5,713	\$14,282.50
18	City of Inglewood	5,300	\$13,250.00
71	City of San Dimas	5,263	\$13,157.50
99	City of Ontario	5,000	\$12,500.00
91	City of Seal Beach	4,800	\$12,000.00
86	City of Carpinteria	4,680	\$11,700.00
28	City of San Clemente	4,100	\$10,250.00
29	City of San Clemente	4,100	\$10,250.00
15	City of Danville	4,000	\$10,000.00
60	City of San Buenaventura	4,000	\$10,000.00
72	City of Rocklin	4,000	\$10,000.00
44	City of San Clemente	3,900	\$9,750.00
45	City of San Clemente	3,770	\$9,425.00
63	City of Orange	3,700	\$9,250.00
81	City of LaPuente	3,604	\$9,010.00
61	City of Woodland	3,553	\$8,882.50

94	City of Modesto	3,500	\$8,750.00
42	City of San Clemente	3,450	\$8,625.00
52	City of South Gate	3,375	\$8,437.50
4	Los Angeles County	3,332	\$8,330.00
5	Los Angeles County	3,111	\$7,777.50
97	City of Oceanside	3,100	\$7,750.00
1	Los Angeles County	3,035	\$7,587.50
32	City of San Clemente	3,000	\$7,500.00
62	City of Orange	2,850	\$7,125.00
43	City of San Clemente	2,850	\$7,125.00
30	City of San Clemente	2,840	\$7,100.00
64	City of Orange	2,800	\$7,000.00
31	City of San Clemente*	2,760	\$2,527.50
Total			\$1,200,000.00

List B

31	City of San Clemente*		\$4,372.50
26	City of San Clemente	2,650	\$6,625.00
27	City of San Clemente	2,650	\$6,625.00
25	City of Lynwood	2,622	\$6,555.00
46	City of San Clemente	2,570	\$6,425.00
2	City of Santa Fe Springs	2,520	\$6,300.00
19	City of Inglewood	2,500	\$6,250.00
75	City of Campbell	2,500	\$6,250.00
41	City of San Clemente	2,500	\$6,250.00
Total			\$55,652.50

* Proposed split funded project

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

Resolution 2005-81

Consideration Of The Grant Awards For The Senate Bill 1346 Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Grant Program For FY 2004/2005

WHEREAS, Public Resources Code Section 42872 authorizes the California Integrated Waste Management Board (Board) to issue grants to businesses and other enterprises involved in activities that result in reduced landfill disposal of used whole tires and reduced illegal disposal or stockpiling of used whole tires; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill (SB) 1346 (Kuehl, Statutes of 2002, Chapter 671) authorizes the Board to award grants to cities, counties, districts, and other local governmental agencies for the funding of public works projects that use rubberized asphalt concrete (RAC); and

WHEREAS, on May 13-14, 2003, the Board allocated one million two hundred thousand dollars (\$1,200,000) for FY 2004/2005 for the funding of SB 1346 RAC grants in its approval of the *Five-Year Plan for the Waste Tire Recycling Management Program (2nd Edition)*; and

WHEREAS, on September 16-17, 2003, the Board approved the Eligibility Criteria, Evaluation Process and Priority Ranking Categories for the Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Grant Program for FY 2003/2004 and FY 2004/2005; and

WHEREAS, staff reviewed and evaluated all qualified grant proposals based on the approved eligibility criteria and evaluation process; and

WHEREAS, the award of the SB 1346 RAC Grant Program for FY 2004/2005 is contingent upon and subject to the availability of funds allocated for this Grant Program;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board directs staff to develop and enter into Grant Agreements with the qualified applicants listed below; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the award of each Grant is conditioned upon the return by the proposed Grantee of a completed and executed Grant Agreement within ninety (90) days of the date of mailing of the Grant Agreement package by the Board; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the award of each Grant is further conditioned upon full payment of any outstanding debt owed by the proposed Grantee to the Board within ninety (90) days of this grant award by the Board; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board approves the award of the SB 1346 Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Grants and directs staff to develop and enter into Grant Agreements with the 61 applicants as set forth in List A and to use the one million two hundred thousand dollars (\$1,200,000) allocated to the SB 1346 Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Grants (FY 2004/2005); and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board approves the award of the SB 1346 Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Grants and directs staff to develop and enter into Grant Agreements with the 8 applicants as set forth in List B should FY 2005/2006 allocated funds become available or in the event the Board wishes to reallocate additional FY 2004/2005 funds to passing projects.

(over)

List A – To Be Funded From FY 2004/2005 Allocation

App. No	Jurisdiction	Grant Amount
80	City of Carlsbad	\$50,000.00
87	City of Carson	\$50,000.00
58	City of Santa Monica	\$50,000.00
59	City of Santa Monica	\$50,000.00
73	City of Santa Clarita	\$50,000.00
56	City of Thousand Oaks	\$50,000.00
90	City of Rancho Palos Verdes	\$43,110.00
8	San Diego County	\$39,662.50
20	City of Riverside	\$33,000.00
11	Los Angeles County	\$31,647.50
74	City of La Quinta	\$31,490.00
57	City of Thousand Oaks	\$31,250.00
12	Los Angeles County	\$28,327.50
95	City of Tracy	\$27,500.00
69	City of Victorville	\$26,595.00
88	City of Hemet	\$24,500.00
13	Los Angeles County	\$23,750.00
89	City of Montebello	\$23,642.50
79	City of Lake Forest	\$23,475.00
14	Los Angeles County	\$23,335.00
7	Los Angeles County	\$23,000.00
77	City of Encinitas	\$21,562.50
22	City of Riverside	\$21,000.00
76	City of Covina	\$20,000.00
55	City of Garden Grove	\$18,942.50
53	City of South Gate	\$18,750.00
23	City of Riverside	\$18,250.00
3	City of Santa Fe Springs	\$16,300.00
84	City of Bell Gardens	\$16,250.00
34	City of Palmdale	\$15,780.00
21	City of Riverside	\$15,250.00
78	City of West Hollywood	\$14,287.50
54	City of Garden Grove	\$14,282.50
18	City of Inglewood	\$13,250.00
71	City of San Dimas	\$13,157.50
99	City of Ontario	\$12,500.00
91	City of Seal Beach	\$12,000.00
86	City of Carpinteria	\$11,700.00
28	City of San Clemente	\$10,250.00
29	City of San Clemente	\$10,250.00
15	City of Danville	\$10,000.00
60	City of San Buenaventura	\$10,000.00
72	City of Rocklin	\$10,000.00
44	City of San Clemente	\$9,750.00
45	City of San Clemente	\$9,425.00
63	City of Orange	\$9,250.00

81	City of LaPuente	\$9,010.00
61	City of Woodland	\$8,882.50
94	City of Modesto	\$8,750.00
42	City of San Clemente	\$8,625.00
52	City of South Gate	\$8,437.50
4	Los Angeles County	\$8,330.00
5	Los Angeles County	\$7,777.50
97	City of Oceanside	\$7,750.00
1	Los Angeles County	\$7,587.50
32	City of San Clemente	\$7,500.00
62	City of Orange	\$7,125.00
43	City of San Clemente	\$7,125.00
30	City of San Clemente	\$7,100.00
64	City of Orange	\$7,000.00
31	City of San Clemente*	\$2,527.50
Total		\$1,200,000.00

List B – To Be Funded From FY 2005/2006 Allocation, if funds become available

App. No	Jurisdiction	Grant Amount
31	City of San Clemente*	\$4,372.50
26	City of San Clemente	\$6,625.00
27	City of San Clemente	\$6,625.00
25	City of Lynwood	\$6,555.00
46	City of San Clemente	\$6,425.00
2	City of Santa Fe Springs	\$6,300.00
19	City of Inglewood	\$6,250.00
75	City of Campbell	\$6,250.00
41	City of San Clemente	\$6,250.00
Total		\$55,652.50

*Proposed split funded grant project

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned Executive Director, or his designee, of the California Integrated Waste Management Board does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the California Integrated Waste Management Board held on March 15-16, 2005.

Dated:

Mark Leary
Executive Director